

# Session-3: Key Points

*Interoperability of sectors in the framework of preparedness for health emergencies*

Prof Ch. Hadjichristodoulou  
University of Thessaly

# Session 3: Key points

- Interoperability and intersectoral collaboration are core prerequisites for a successful crisis management plan
- ECDC:
  - Technical action plan
  - Re-engineering of the Early Warning and Response System (EWRS)
  - A dedicated information system for alert and crisis management in the field of public health that is accessible to national and regional health agencies
- Exercises and workshops on hybrid threats and preparedness capacity assessment are essentials
- Collaboration with WHO and EU-wide collaborations (on training and testing) are important

# Session 3: Key points

- Joint actions on several domains are on-going (*Healthy GateWays, JAV, JAMRAI, and for 2018 preparedness and IHR implementation including laboratory strengthening*)
- Risk communication capacity within IHR framework is crucial
- Communicate early and often—despite uncertainty
- New challenges (new technologies, climate change, terrorism attacks) should be considered as a future priority

# Session 3: Key Messages from the MS case presentations

- ITALY:
  - Presented a good example of after-event action review
  - Outbreak of Chickungunya resulted in modifying contingency planning and response (5. phase)
- FRANCE:
  - Emphasis on intersectoral collaboration and communication
  - Highest level of commitment (Prime minister chairing a committee of 10 ministers)
- PORTUGAL:
  - Focus on self assessment limitations and
  - Synergies between self assessment for IHR and the questionnaire for Dec 1082/2013
- NORWAY:
  - Integration in existing systems for sustainability
  - National committee for IHR and National Committee for PoE
  - Support other countries (low GDP) to implement IHR

# Session 3: Key Messages from the MS case presentations

- SWEDEN:
  - One-health approach
  - Interoperability with civil protection
- BELGIUM:
  - JEE experience in 2017: heavy workload, time consuming process, intensive effort but overall very useful experience
  - Good example of regional collaboration
- NETHERLANDS:
  - Good example of designation of PoE Category A and B
  - Good example of core capacity development at PoE
  - Simulation exercises
- CROATIA:
  - Crisis communication and coordination through the Civil Protection Command Centre
- SERBIA:
  - Emphasis on lack of human resources

# Session 3: Key Messages from the MS case presentations

- FINLAND:
  - Comprehensive security approach – obligation to cooperate across sectors included in legislation
  - Preparedness based on enhancement of normal time functions
  - 4-year cycle in updating national comprehensive risk assessment
- IHR is about essential PH functions

# Session-3:

## points for the Greek roadmap to JEE

- High level political commitment for the IHR implementation
- Interoperability and intersectoral collaboration should be priority at all levels through MoUs
- A National intersectoral Committee supporting the function of IHR NFP is a necessity!
  - Maybe up to the level of Ministers!
- Consider crisis communication and coordination through Civil Protection
- Support for detection of threats (surveillance and labs)
- Training and awareness raising for other sectors and/or levels on IHR
- Last but not least INVESTMENT in human resources